UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/788,962	02/27/2004	Ernesto Lasalandra	854063.747	6688	
	7590 05/06/200 ECTUAL PROPERTY	EXAMINER			
701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5400			AMRANY, ADI		
SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2836		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/06/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/788,962	LASALANDRA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
	1		

	ADI AMRANY	2836	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 April 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavireal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ').	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a control NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [</li> </ul>	·	•	-
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	ided below or appended.	r be entered and an e	Apiditation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24 and 28-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	, , , , ,	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Stephen W Jackson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2836	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 1 and 9-10, Woehrl (col. 7, lines 54-59) discloses that in the event of a rear end collision, the negative values that are created by the acceleration sensors are converted into positive values. These absolute values are then processed in the same manner as those for forward impacts (col. 8, lines 5-8). The accelerations sensor signals in a forward collision are already positive and do not need to be adjusted (col. 5, lines 6-8). Thus, Woehrl meets the amended limitations of independent claims 1 and 9-10.

Regarding claims 13 and 21, while the claims recite that the "portable electronic apparatus" (claim 13) or "the device" (claim 21) is configured to reactivate from stand-by to an active state, the claims do not recite that the "apparauts" or "device" re-enters stand-by after being active. The Woehrl logic gate (44) is initially set in stand-by (LOW) and then is reactivated (HIGH) during an acceleration event. The Woehrl initial stand-by setting meets the limitaiton of "go into stand-by after a period of inactivity." Further, claims 13 and 21 do not define the "apparatus" or the "device."